

From: ENAV Committee  
To: Policy Advisory Panel

PAP33-10.1.4  
(ENAV20-14.1.29)  
17 March 2017

## LIAISON NOTE

### **Liaison note to the Policy Advisory Panel on the draft e-Navigation Roadmap and proposals to coordinate IALA's activities concerning e-navigation**

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

The ENAV Committee at its 19<sup>th</sup> session discussed the development of the IALA e-Navigation Roadmap and three proposals to coordinate, with the aim to enhance, IALA's activities concerning e-Navigation.

The Committee expressed the need for enhanced coordination and proposed that:

- for a more robust management of all IALA activities related to e-navigation, a detailed project management approach should be implemented, showing the interdependences between the items listed in the road map and the work on e-navigation by all committees.
- an Information format - Microsoft Project would be a suitable software program to fulfil the project management approach. However, this needs expertise and resources. The current Microsoft Word version for maintaining the high level roadmap is simple and easy to use – but it cannot display the interdependencies.
- two documents be maintained:
  - the road map in MS Word format; and
  - a project approach capable software programme with the ability to provide milestones, interdependencies, time lines, progress on tasks etc. in MS Project format.

##### **1.1 Related documents**

- output doc ENAV19-14.1.3 (input doc PAP32-6.1.3.2), e-Navigation Roadmap
- output doc ENAV19-14.1.4 (input doc PAP32-6.1.3.1), Liaison note to PAP on the IALA e-Navigation Roadmap and related proposals to restructure IALA's activities concerning e-navigation
- output doc PAP32-17.1 (input doc ENAV20-4.2), Report PAP32, section 6.1.3

#### **2 DISCUSSION**

The ENAV Committee at its 20<sup>th</sup> session noted that, according to the PAP32 Report, section 6.1.3, PAP has discussed the document PAP32-6.1.3.2, e-Navigation Roadmap. The Committee understands that the development of the Roadmap should continue and is to be seen as an internal instrument.

However, the Committee did not receive a response and explanation of the views of PAP to the needs and proposals as reflected in the introduction of this document, which were requested action items 3, 4 and 5 as reflected in the output document ENAV19-14.1.4 (input doc PAP32-6.1.3.1).

#### **3 ACTION REQUESTED OF PAP**

The Policy Advisory Panel is requested to

- 1 reconsider the document PAP32-6.1.3.1, specifically the action items 3, 4 and 5.

- 2 take into account the Committees considerations for these proposals as reflected in section 3 DISCUSSION (2) of document PAP32-6.1.3.1.
- 3 response to the ENAV Committee the view and motivation of PAP concerning the proposed issues reflected in these action items.
- 4 inform the Committee on further actions to be taken to progress the mentioned proposals.